



Planning Committee

Wed 28 Oct
2020
7.00 pm

Virtual Meeting

REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL

*making
a
difference*

www.redditchbc.gov.uk

If you have any queries on this Agenda please contact

Sarah Sellers

Town Hall, Walter Stranz Square, Redditch, B98 8AH

Tel: (01527) 64252 (Ext. 2884)

email: sarah.sellers@bromsgroveandredditch.gov.uk

GUIDANCE ON VIRTUAL MEETINGS AND PUBLIC SPEAKING

Due to the current Covid-19 pandemic Redditch Borough Council will be holding this meeting in accordance with the relevant legislative arrangements for remote meetings of a local authority. For more information please refer to the Local Authorities and Police and Crime Panels (Coronavirus) (Flexibility of Local Authority and Police Crime Panels meetings) (England and Wales) Regulations 2020.

The meeting is open to the public except for any exempt/confidential items. Where a meeting is held remotely, “open” means available for live viewing. Members of the public will be able to see and hear the meetings via a live stream to the Council’s YouTube Channel which can be accessed using the link below:

[Link to view Live Stream of Planning Committee](#)

Members of the Committee, officers and public speakers will participate in the meeting using Skype, and details of any access codes/passwords will be made available separately.

If you have any questions regarding the agenda or attached papers please do not hesitate to contact the officer named below.

PUBLIC SPEAKING

The usual process for public speaking at meetings of the Planning Committee will continue to be followed subject to some adjustments for the smooth running of virtual meetings. For further details a copy of the amended Planning Committee Procedure Rules can be found on the Council’s website at:

[Link to amended Planning Committee Procedure Rules](#)

The process approved by the Council for public speaking at meetings of the Planning Committee is (subject to the discretion and control of the Chair), as summarised below:

- 1) Introduction of application by Chair
- 2) Officer presentation of the report
- 3) Public Speaking - in the following order:-
 - a) Objectors to speak on the application
 - b) Supporters to speak on the application
 - c) Ward Councillors
 - d) Applicant (or representative) to speak on the application
- 4) Members’ questions to the Officers and formal debate / determination.

Speakers will be called in the order they have notified their interest in speaking to the Democratic Services Team and invited to unmute their microphone and address the committee via Skype.

Each individual speaker will have up to a maximum of 3 minutes to speak, subject to the discretion of the Chair.

Each group of supporters or objectors with a common interest will have up to a maximum of 10 minutes to speak, subject to the discretion of the Chair.

Notes:

- 1) Anyone wishing to address the Planning Committee on applications on this agenda must notify the Democratic Services Team on 01527 64252 Extn.2884 or by email at sarah.sellers@bromsgroveandredditch.gov.uk before 12 noon on Monday 26th October.
- 2) Advice and assistance will be provided to public speakers as to how to access the meeting and those registered to speak will be invited to participate via a Skype invitation. Provision has been made in the amended Planning Committee procedure rules for public speakers who cannot access the meeting by Skype, and those speakers will be given the opportunity to submit their speech in writing to be read out by an officer at the meeting. Please take care when preparing written comments to ensure that the reading time will not exceed three minutes. Any speakers wishing to submit written comments must do so by 12 noon Monday 26th October.
- 3) Reports on all applications will include a summary of the responses received from consultees and third parties, an appraisal of the main planning issues and a recommendation. All submitted plans and documentation for each application, including consultee responses and third party representations, are available to view in full via the Public Access facility on the Council's website www.redditchbc.gov.uk
- 4) It should be noted that, in coming to its decision, the Committee can only take into account planning issues, namely policies contained in the Borough of Redditch Local Plan No. 4 and other material considerations, which include Government Guidance and other relevant policies published since the adoption of the Development Plan and the "environmental factors" (in the broad sense) which affect the site.
- 5) Although this is a public meeting, there are circumstances when the committee might have to move into closed session to consider exempt or confidential information. For agenda items that are exempt, the public are excluded and for any such items the live stream will be suspended and that part of the meeting will not be recorded.
- 6) Late circulation of additional papers is not advised and is subject to the Chair's agreement. The submission of any significant new information might lead to a delay in reaching a decision. The deadline for papers to be received by Planning Officers is 4.00 p.m. on the Friday before the meeting.

Planning

COMMITTEE

Wednesday, 28th October, 2020

7.00 pm

Virtual Meeting - Skype - Virtual

Agenda

Membership:

Cllrs:

Gemma Monaco (Chair)
Salman Akbar (Vice-Chair)
Tom Baker-Price
Roger Bennett
Michael Chalk

Andrew Fry
Julian Grubb
Bill Hartnett
Jennifer Wheeler

1. Chairs Welcome

2. Apologies

3. Declarations of Interest

To invite Councillors to declare any Disclosable Pecuniary Interests and / or Other Disclosable Interests they may have in items on the agenda, and to confirm the nature of those interests.

4. Confirmation of Minutes of the meeting of Planning Committee held on 14th October 2020 (Pages 1 - 6)

5. Update Reports

To note Update Reports (if any) for the Planning Applications to be considered at the meeting (circulated prior to the commencement of the meeting)

6. Application 17/01357/FUL - Land at the rear of Victoria House Feckenham Road Astwood Bank Redditch B96 6DS - Mr D Broadbent (Pages 7 - 18)

7. Application 20/00795/FUL - 101 Salford Close Woodrow Redditch B98 7UL - Mr John Bennett (Pages 19 - 22)

This page is intentionally left blank



Planning Committee

Wednesday, 14 October 2020

Virutal Meeting

MINUTES

Present:

Councillor Gemma Monaco (Chair), Councillor Salman Akbar (Vice-Chair) and Councillors Tom Baker-Price, Michael Chalk, Andrew Fry, Julian Grubb, Jennifer Wheeler, Anthony Lovell and Yvonne Smith

Officers:

Helena Plant, Steve Edden, Amar Hussain and Joanne Gresham

Democratic Services Officer:

Sarah Sellers

35. CHAIR'S WELCOME

The Chair welcomed the Committee members and officers to the virtual Planning Committee meeting being held via Skype. The Chair explained that the meeting was being live streamed on the Council's YouTube channel to enable members of the public to observe the committee.

36. APOLOGIES

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Roger Bennett and Bill Hartnett. Councillor Anthony Lovell attended as substitute for Councillor Bennett, and Councillor Yvonne Smith attended as substitute for Councillor Hartnett.

37. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

There were no declarations of interest.

38. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF PLANNING COMMITTEE HELD ON 23RD SEPTEMBER 2020

RESOLVED that

The Minutes of the Planning Committee held on 23rd September 2020 be confirmed as a true record and signed by the Chair.

.....
Chair

Planning Committee

Wednesday, 14 October 2020

39. UPDATE REPORTS

Members confirmed that they had received and read the Update Report.

40. APPLICATION 20/01060/FUL - CAR PARK LAND ADJACENT CLIVE WORKS EDWARD STREET REDDITCH - MR CARL TAYLOR : ACCORD HOUSING ASSOCIATION

Erection of one three storey building to provide 12no. one bed apartments for affordable rent

Officers presented the application and in doing so reminded Members that they had recently considered and resolved to, in principle grant consent for a full planning application on the same site in June 2020, under reference 19/01622/FUL. The previous application had been for two three storey buildings including 90 square metres of office space.

Accord Housing was now seeking to develop the site in a different configuration, and the current application was for one three storey building of one bedroom apartments for affordable rent. The proposed structure was identical to the building referred to as Block 2 on the previous application.

In taking Members through the plans, Officers highlighted the location of the proposed apartment block, the change in the position of the access from Edward Street, that there would be 12 car parking spaces provided, and that a small electricity sub-station would be located on the site to serve surrounding properties.

Although the quantum of units provided would be lower than the previous application, the level of density was acceptable, as was the design.

Mr Carl Taylor from Accord Housing Association addressed the Committee under the Council's Public Speaking Rules.

In debating the application Members commented on the reduction in the number of residential units, but nevertheless welcomed the development in terms of the benefits of providing affordable housing and contributing to the regeneration of the Edward Street area. Members also noted the comments from the public speaker regarding the innovative design for the proposed building and the initiative to make it plastic free.

RESOLVED that

Planning

Committee

Wednesday, 14 October 2020

Having regard to the development plan and to all other material considerations, authority be delegated to the Head of Planning and Regeneration to grant planning permission subject to:-

- a. The satisfactory completion of a section 106 obligation ensuring that:
 - Contributions are paid to the Borough Council in respect to off-site open space, and equipped play in accordance with the Councils adopted SPD
 - Contributions are paid to the Borough Council towards the provision of waste and recycling facilities for the new development
 - Contributions are paid to the Borough Council towards the provision of improvements and environmental enhancements to the Town Centre
 - Contributions are paid to Worcestershire County Council for localised improvements to bus stop infrastructure and lining and signing for cycle routes.
 - Contributions are paid to the NHS Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) towards GP Surgeries
 - A minimum of 3 units of accommodation are restricted to affordable housing in perpetuity
 - A S106 monitoring fee/s are paid to the Borough Council

and

- b. The conditions and informatives listed on pages 16 to 20 of the agenda.

**41. APPLICATION 20/00798/FUL - 71 LINEHOLT CLOSE
OAKENSHAW REDDITCH B98 7YT - MR GHAFAR KHAN**

Rear and side extensions together with internal alterations

Members considered an application for a domestic extension which proposed a first floor side extension, a single and two storey addition to the rear and a single storey extension to the side of the building.

Officers took Members through the slides and photographs in the presentations pack and outlined the details of the proposed changes and the position of the property in relation to nearby dwellings. It was noted that three objections had been received.

Officers had considered the issues of character of the proposed extension and residential amenity and concluded that the application complied with the relevant policies and would not cause harm to residential or visual amenity.

In responding to questions from Members, officers clarified that an additional parking space was being provided under the scheme and this would be secured through condition 4 on page 24.

RESOLVED that

Having regard to the development plan and to all other material considerations, planning permission be granted subject to the conditions and informatives set out on pages 23 to 24 of the agenda.

**42. APPLICATION 20/00897/FUL - 29 LINEHOLT CLOSE
OAKENSHAW REDDITCH B98 7YU - MR JASON KNIGHT**

Extension of first floor rear gable extension (amending approval 2016/380/FUL)

Officers outlined the application and in doing so explained that planning permission had been granted in 2016 for a single and two storey rear extension including a loft conversion. Work had commenced in September 2019 but then halted in early 2020 prior to the extension being completed.

The current planning application was seeking permission to extend the rear gable over the single storey element of the extension approved under application 2016/380/FUL, and would raise the ridge line of the existing roof of the property by 300mm.

It was noted that objections to the application had been received from occupants of number 28 and number 30 Lineholt Close whose properties abutted the application site.

Officers took Members through the slides in the Presentations Pack and explained the physical dimensions of the proposed extension in comparison to the 2016 permission, and in relation to the neighbouring dwellings at number 28 and number 30 Lineholt Close. Members were referred to the additional images in the Update Report which included photographs taken from the gardens of the neighbouring properties and from the first floor.

It was noted that the proposed extension was significant in size and this raised the issue of impact on residential amenity. With regard to any potential overshadowing and loss of light, officers had assessed the application carefully and found that it complied with the 45 degree line guidance as set out in the Councils Design SPD. In reaching this view officers had taken into consideration that the rear gardens of all three properties faced due north. Similarly,

Planning Committee

Wednesday, 14 October 2020

officers had considered the issue of whether the proposed extension would be overbearing and were satisfied that an overbearing impact would not occur.

Overall, whilst the size of the proposed extension was significant, Officers had concluded that the application complied with policy and it was recommended for approval.

The following speakers addressed the Committee under the Council's public speaking rules, the first in objection to the application:-

- Mr and Mrs Maxwell - local residents (comments read by an officer)
- Mr Jason Knight - the applicant

In debating the application Members discussed the impact of the proposed extension on the neighbouring dwellings, whether there may be grounds to refuse the application and whether there was sufficient information to make a decision. Other views were expressed in favour of granting the application in accordance with the officer recommendation.

Officers advised that the additional photographs in the Update Report had been provided to aid Members in visualising the site.

A recommendation was proposed and seconded that the application be deferred for a site visit.

An amended recommendation was proposed and seconded that the application be approved in accordance with the officer recommendation.

On being put to the vote the amended recommendation was approved and became the substantive recommendation. Members proceeded to take a further vote on the proposal that the application be approved.

Resolved that

Having regard to the development plan and to all other material considerations, planning permission be granted subject to the conditions and informatives set out on page 29 of the Agenda

The Meeting commenced at 7.00 pm
and closed at 8.08 pm

This page is intentionally left blank

**PLANNING
COMMITTEE****28th October 2020****Planning Application 17/01357/FUL****Proposed three two-bedroom flats****R/O Victoria House , Astwood Bank, Redditch, Worcestershire, B96 6DS,****Applicant: Mr D. Broadbent
Ward: Astwood Bank and Feckenham Ward****(see additional papers for site plan)**

The author of this report is Charlotte Wood, Planning Officer (DM), who can be contacted on Tel: 01527 64252 Ext 3412 Email: Charlotte.Wood@bromsgroveandredditch.gov.uk for more information.

Site Description

The application site is to the rear of Victoria House, which is a building that has recently been converted to residential flats. The site is on the northern side of Feckenham Road and is within the settlement of Astwood Bank. The immediate area contains a mixture of residential and commercial uses. The buildings surrounding the site vary in terms of their age of construction, which is reflected in their varying style. The residential cul-de-sac Beverley Close lies immediately to the northern boundary of the application site. To the east lies the industrial/commercial unit Orchard Joinery which forms part of Ridgeway Trading Estate. The site is accessed off Feckenham Road to the west of Victoria House. The access leads to the car park area which is situated to the west of the proposed block of flats.

Proposal Description

The application seeks full planning permission for the erection of a two storey building comprising three two-bedroom flats. The building would be constructed as two adjoining blocks with pyramidal hipped roofs and facing materials would be red/brown bricks and brown interlocking roof tiles. The proposal also comprises associated amenity space and formalisation of a parking area for the future occupiers.

Relevant Policies:**Borough of Redditch Local Plan No. 4**

Policy 1: Presumption in Favour of Sustainable Development

Policy 2: Settlement Hierarchy

Policy 5: Effective and Efficient Use of Land

Policy 20: Transport Requirements for New Development

Policy 39: Built Environment

Policy 40: High Quality Design and Safer Communities

REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL**PLANNING
COMMITTEE**

Others

National Planning Policy Framework
 National Planning Practice Guidance
 Redditch High Quality Design SPD

Relevant Planning History

2016/320/FUL	Retrospective change of use from Class A1(Shops) and Class B1(a) Offices to Class C3 (Dwellinghouses) use including a rear extension and alterations to form 4no. self-contained flats	Approved	15.12.2016
2015/261/FUL	Proposed change of use from Class A1(Shops) and Class B1(a) (Offices) use to Class C3(Dwellinghouses) use including a rear extension and alterations to form 4no. self-contained flats	Approved	29.10.2015
2013/077/COU	Change of Use of part ground and first floor from Class B1 (Business) use to Class D1 (Non-Residential Institution) Use	Approved	01.05.2013
2012/209/FUL	Conversion and extension to form six flats	Refused	24.09.2012

Consultations**Highways**

Objection originally received in relation to parking provision and the intensification of a substandard access.

Further comments received withdrawing objection following the reduction of flats, increase in parking provision and the proposed creation of passing place in access. Proposal is now considered acceptable subject to conditions relating to access, parking, cycle storage and electric vehicular charging points.

Worcestershire Regulatory Services - Noise

No objection to the application in terms of noise adversely impacting on future occupants.

WRS - Contaminated Land

No objections raised; however, given the application site is within 250m of a registered landfill site, a condition for a tiered risk assessment has been recommended.

Public Consultation Response

REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL**PLANNING
COMMITTEE**

Five letters of objection were received following initial public consultation on the 1st March 2018. Four further letters of objection were received following further public consultation on the 20th August 2020. Collectively these representations raised concerns with regards to the following:

- Highway and pedestrian safety
- Inadequate access/poor visibility
- Insufficient parking/inadequate sized spaces/no disabled spaces
- Width of access not suitable for emergency vehicles
- Loss of privacy
- Overdevelopment of the site
- Loss of wildlife
- Drainage problems
- Loss of light to gardens behind site
- Does not meet separation distances within SPD
- Development not needed in the area

A number of other non-material issues have been raised by third parties, however these have not been reported here as they cannot be taken into account during the processing of the application.

Assessment of Proposal

The application site is located within Astwood Bank which is defined in Policy 2 of the Borough of Redditch Local Plan no. 4 (BoRLP4) as a sustainable rural settlement with an appropriate range of services and facilities. The principle of new residential development within the settlement boundary is therefore acceptable. Further to this it should be noted that the Council cannot currently demonstrate a 5-year housing land supply (5YHLS). The 5YHLS at 1st April 2019 was calculated to be 3.29 years. Having regard to paragraph 11(d) and footnote 7 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) the lack of 5YHLS means that planning permission for residential development should be granted unless any adverse impacts of granting planning permission would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the policies in this Framework taken as a whole.

Character and appearance

Policies 39 and 40 of BoRLP4 together seek development to make a positive contribution to the area through design. Further to this Policy 39.3(i) seeks to optimise the potential of a site by making the most efficient use of space available.

With regards to the existing character, it is clear that existing development along Feckenham Road does not follow a clear pattern in terms of form, layout and density. Buildings do not conform to an established building line and there is existing “backland” development directly to the east of the site. Having regard to this the proposed development would not conflict with the existing pattern of development.

PLANNING COMMITTEE

Further to this there are a mixture of building types in the local area comprising varying styles and finishes. The proposed design of the flats would be relatively simple, to reflect the simple appearance of Victoria House, to which it would be situated behind. The proposed brick choice would also match Victoria House to provide further cohesion between the two developments. Whilst the proposed hipped roofs would contrast with the pitched roof of Victoria House, they would reduce the overall massing of the buildings. The proposed elevations would also include small areas of red coloured cladding which would give the buildings a more contemporary appearance. Overall, it is considered that the proposed development would not be at odds with the established mixed character of the area. The siting of proposed block of flats would also be largely obscured by existing buildings from views along the streetscene of Feckenham Road.

In view of the above, the proposal would be consistent with the requirements of policies 39 and 40 of BoRLP4 which broadly seek development to make a positive contribution to the area through design. Further to this the proposal would be in accordance with Policy 39.3(i) which seeks to optimise the potential of a site by making the most efficient use of space available.

Residential Amenity

Paragraph 127(f) of the NPPF states that planning decisions should seek a good standard of amenity for existing and future occupants of land and buildings. Furthermore, the Borough of Redditch High Quality Design SPD (adopted June 2019) provides further guidance in relation to amenity.

With regards to the proposal there would be a minimum of 27 metres separation distance from the proposed new windows of the flats to the rear windows of the houses along Beverley Close, which would exceed the minimum distance of 21 metres set out within the Council's SPD. The closest first floor window facing towards the rear gardens of Beverley Close would be 7.3 metres from the shared boundary. There is no minimum distance of window to garden areas set out in the SPD. Notwithstanding this, given that the rear gardens of Beverley Close are relatively long, the majority of the garden length would not be overlooked.

At the closest point, the block of flats would be 2.8 metres from the rear boundary of no. 7 Beverley Close. The scale of the proposed buildings has been reduced during the process and the design and roof form of the flats have been amended so that their massing would be reduced. In view of this it is not considered that their proposed scale would be overbearing to the surrounding properties, nor would there be an adverse impact to the light received by the properties.

There would be no direct window conflicts between the proposed flats and the existing flats contained within Victoria House. There would, however, be a close relationship between some of the windows of both the existing and proposed flats and flank walls. The closest of these would be the ground floor and first floor windows on the eastern block of flats that would serve the kitchen and would be 2 metres from the stairwell block. Notwithstanding this, the open plan layout of these rooms would mean that the kitchen

PLANNING COMMITTEE

would also be served by the sitting room window on the northern elevation. It also noted that a kitchen is not considered a primary habitable room.

There would be a close relationship between a bedroom window on the northern elevation of Victoria House and a kitchen window on the southern elevation of the proposed block of flats, however given that these windows would not have a direct relationship with one another, it is not considered that the harm arising from this would warrant refusal of the application.

There is currently a ground floor bedroom window on the northern elevation of Victoria House, towards the western end of the building. As this would be in close proximity to the stairwell of the proposed flats, it is proposed to reposition this bedroom window to the west elevation facing towards the access. This would ensure adequate light to this existing bedroom. Given that this building is within the ownership of the applicant, this can be secured by planning condition, to be carried out prior to the occupation of the new development

The proposed building would breach the 45 degree code for an existing rear window on 12b Feckenham Road to the south west of the proposed flats. However given that the proposed building would breach the 45 degree code at a distance of 8 metres from the existing window, and as the window has an otherwise open outlook, it is not considered that this would be harmful to the outlook of the occupiers.

The Council's SPD also requires adequate amenity space to be provided for the occupiers of new residential development. Whilst the SPD stipulates that a minimum amenity area of 70 sq metres should be provided for dwellings, it states that a more flexible approach will be taken for communal amenity space for flats. In the case of the current scheme, the proposed amenity area indicated on the plans would total 260 sq metres. It is noted that the development would leave a reduced amenity area of 40sq metres behind the Victoria House development. Whilst this reduced area would provide limited amenity space for the existing occupiers of Victoria house, the overall combined on-site provision of 300sq metres would provide sufficient shared amenity space for the existing and proposed flats. Furthermore, the SPD states that private amenity space should be in scale with surrounding properties and reflect existing local density. It is noted that in this case the surrounding properties along Feckenham Road follow an irregular pattern and do not benefit from a traditional residential character of long rear gardens. This is distinct from the character of Beverley Close to the rear of the site which does follow a more traditional form.

Highways

The site is located off a classified road which benefits from footpaths and street lighting on both sides, meaning that future occupiers of the development would be able walk to nearby services.

The proposed development would utilise an existing access located off Feckenham Road which runs in between the existing substation and the apartment block, 12A Feckenham

REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL**PLANNING
COMMITTEE**

Road. Parking bays and “No Parking” double yellow line restrictions are in close vicinity of the access. The Highway Officer initially considered that this access was inadequate in terms of its width, not permitting two cars to pass each other if a vehicle entering the site were to meet a vehicle exiting the site. As Feckenham Road is classified, it would not be acceptable for a car to reverse onto it. However, during the application process it has been clarified that this matter can be addressed by altering the existing wall which runs behind number 12 Feckenham Road to allow enough space for two vehicles to pass. The Highway Officer has confirmed that this would overcome his concerns. Given that the stretch of wall is within the applicant’s ownership, this alteration can be secured by planning condition.

Worcestershire County Council's (WCC) parking standards within their Streetscape Design Guide require two parking spaces for each two-bedroomed flat. The parking area within the application site provides parking for 13 vehicles, 3 of these would be located under the first floor of the apartment block, on the western side. Previous planning application ref 2006/007/FUL for three new flats was granted contingent on the provision of 7 parking spaces within the same area to remain in perpetuity. It is also noted that two further permissions dating back to 1980 and 1982 were granted for 2 flats above number 16 Feckenham Road, subject to providing parking for the occupiers. The number of parking spaces to be provided was not specified within the condition, however, the spaces were to be provided within the same area of land. Notwithstanding this, in view of the 6 spaces required for the current proposal, and the 7 spaces secured by condition on planning permission 2006/007/FUL, the parking provision would meet WCC’s parking standards. Whilst it was noted during a site visit that there is local pressure for available on street parking, it is also evident that the site is within a sustainable area with nearby facilities and access to public transport. Although the Highway Officer initially raised concerns with parking, the number of flats have been reduced and the number of spaces increased during the course of the application and the Highways Officer has now confirmed that parking provision is acceptable. However, in order to provide clarity and to reduce the likelihood of further vehicles parking on the public highway, it is considered reasonable and necessary to include a condition for a parking allocation scheme for the site.

At the request of WCC a Highway Technical Note has also been provided which analysed traffic generation, access visibility based on approach speeds, pedestrian movements and accident rates. The Highway Officer has confirmed that the results of the surveys indicate that the proposed development would not have a significant impact on the surrounding roads or to pedestrian safety. The accident data presented that there had been no recorded serious or fatal accidents in the vicinity of the proposed development.

Whilst it is noted that no electric vehicle rapid charging points nor cycle parking have been indicated on the proposed plans, these items can be secured by condition in the event that planning permission is granted. Furthermore, in order to improve the visibility to the right on exiting the site, the Highways Officer has requested a condition to ensure that the height of the existing boundary wall fronting no.12 Feckenham Road is reduced to a maximum height of 0.6 metres.

PLANNING COMMITTEE

Based on the above, and subject to the recommended conditions, the Highway Officer considers that there is no reason to withhold planning permission on highway grounds.

Noise

Worcestershire Regulatory services have considered the proposal, in particular the relationship of the proposed residential use to the adjacent commercial/industrial units and have raised no objections in terms of noise adversely impacting on future occupants.

Given the nature of the nearby units, the minimal noise generated from their uses, and in view of the existing surrounding residential development that appears to exist compatibly with the trading estate, it is considered that there would be no harm arising to the future occupiers of the proposed flats.

Public Consultation Responses

As listed earlier within the report, a number of objections have been received from neighbouring properties in respect of this application. Matters relating to highway safety, access and parking have been addressed within the report, and WCC Highways do not object to the proposal. Loss of privacy and light to neighbouring properties has also been considered within the report. Whilst comments have also stated that the proposal would comprise “overdevelopment” of the site, impact on character and density have been considered and distances to surrounding properties have been considered. The potential impact to wildlife has been raised, however it is noted that the site lies within an urban area and would be located on an area which is already hard surfaced. Given that wildlife is protected under separate legislation that developers would need to adhere to, this matter would not warrant refusal. Comments also stated that the development is not required, however there is a clear shortfall of residential units in the borough. Concerns were raised in relation to drainage, however the site is located in a low risk area of flooding and no comments have been received by North Worcestershire Water Management. Concerns relating to noise and dust from construction were also raised, however these matters are governed by other legislation. A number of other comments were raised in respect of loss of property value, the safety and welfare of nearby nursery children, the behaviour of the developers and nearby tenants, and the untidiness of the previously approved scheme (ref: 2016/320/FUL). These matters are not material planning considerations that are relevant to the current application.

Conclusion

The Council cannot currently demonstrate a five year supply of housing land and therefore paragraph 11 and footnote 7 of the NPPF together state that for applications involving the provision of housing, planning permission should be granted unless the adverse impacts of doing so would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the policies in the NPPF taken as a whole.

Paragraph 7 of the NPPF defines the purpose of the planning system is to contribute to sustainable development, and Paragraph 8 describes the 3 overarching objectives to be economic, social and environmental objectives.

PLANNING COMMITTEE

In relation to the social objective, the proposal would contribute three residential units to the local housing supply and given the importance of providing a sufficient supply of housing, this matter is given substantial weight. In terms of the economic objective, the development would provide some limited benefit to the local economy in terms of providing employment for construction trades and increasing demand for building materials. With regards to environmental considerations, the proposal would result in dwellings that would integrate with the character and layout of the existing area, and would be located within walking distance of amenities and bus stops, meaning that future occupiers would not be reliant on the use of a car. Furthermore, no detrimental harm to neighbouring amenity has been identified that would warrant refusal, and no other remaining reasons to refuse planning permission on any technical ground have been found.

Based on the above there are no adverse impacts of granting planning permission that would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, and therefore it is concluded that planning permission should be granted subject to conditions.

RECOMMENDATION:

That having regard to the development plan and to all other material considerations, planning permission be **GRANTED subject to the following conditions:**

Conditions:

- 1) The development to which this permission relates must be begun not later than the expiration of three years beginning with the date of the grant of this permission.

Reason :- In accordance with the requirements of Section 91(1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as amended by Section 51 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

- 2) The development hereby approved shall be carried out in accordance with the following plans and drawings:

Location Plan & Site Plan - drawing no. 1443.1C

Site Plan - drawing no. 1443.4

Plans (Revised) - drawing no. 1443.2C

Elevations & Sections (Revised) - drawing no. 1443.3C

Reason: To provide certainty to the extent of the development hereby approved in the interests of proper planning.

PLANNING COMMITTEE

- 3) Prior to their first installation, details of the form, colour and finish of the materials to be used externally on the walls and roofs shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The development shall then be carried out in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: To ensure that the development is satisfactory in appearance, to safeguard the visual amenities of the area

- 4) The Development hereby approved shall not be occupied until the existing wall located at no.12 adjacent to the existing vehicular access is reduced to a height not exceeding 0.6m above the adjacent ground level. Nothing shall be planted, erected and/or allowed to grow above this height which would obstruct the visibility.

Reason: In the interests of highway safety.

- 5) The Development hereby permitted shall not be first occupied until the proposed flats have each been fitted with an electric vehicle charging point. The charging points shall comply with BS EN 62196 Mode 3 or 4 charging and BS EN 61851 and the Worcestershire County Council Streetscape Design Guide. The electric vehicle charging points shall be retained for the lifetime of the development unless they need to be replaced in which case the replacement charging points shall be of the same specification or a higher specification in terms of charging performance.

Reason: To encourage sustainable travel and healthy communities.

- 6) The Development hereby permitted shall not be first occupied until sheltered and secure cycle parking for two cycles per flat have been provided on site. Thereafter the cycle parking shall be kept available for the parking of bicycles only.

Reason: To comply with the Worcestershire County Council's highway design guide

- 7) The Development hereby approved shall not be occupied until the access, parking and turning facilities have been provided as shown on drawing 1443 Rev 1C.

Reason: To ensure conformity with submitted details.

- 8) a) A risk assessment should be undertaken to establish whether the proposed development is likely to be affected by landfill or ground gas or vapours. The risk assessment must be provided to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority, prior to the commencement of development. The assessment shall be carried out in accordance with current UK guidance and best practice.

REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL**PLANNING
COMMITTEE**

b) Where the approved risk assessment (required by condition (a) above) identifies ground gases or vapours posing unacceptable risks, no development shall commence until a detailed remediation scheme to protect the development from the effects of such ground gases or vapours has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Following approval, the remediation scheme shall be implemented on site in complete accordance with approved details unless otherwise agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

c) Following implementation and completion of the approved remediation scheme (required by condition (b) above), and prior to the first occupation of the development, a verification report shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority to confirm completion of the remediation scheme in accordance with approved details.

Reason: To ensure that the risk to buildings and their occupants from potential landfill or ground gases are adequately addressed.

- 9) The ground floor and first floor bathroom windows to be installed on the south-east elevation of the building, as shown on approved plan 1443.2C shall be fitted with obscure glazing, and any opening lights shall be at high level and top hinged only. The obscure glass and top openings shall be maintained in the said window in perpetuity.

Reason: To protect the amenities of neighbouring residents.

- 10) Notwithstanding the provisions of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015 (or any Order revoking and re-enacting that Order) no form of boundary enclosure within Schedule 2, Part 2, Class A shall be erected along the southern boundary of the site without express planning permission first being obtained from the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: To ensure adequate visibility of the access.

- 11) Prior to the first occupation of the development hereby permitted, a hard and soft landscape scheme shall be submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority. The approved scheme shall then be implemented in its entirety prior to the first occupation of the development.
- 12) Prior to the occupation of the development hereby approved, the existing ground floor bedroom window on the southern elevation of "Victoria House" shall be repositioned to the western elevation as shown on drawing no. 1443.1C. Any "making good" materials shall match the colour, form, and texture of the existing materials of Victoria House.

Reason: To ensure adequate light levels.

REDDITCH BOROUGH COUNCIL**PLANNING
COMMITTEE**

- 13) During the course of any site clearance and development, the hours of work for all on-site workers, contractors and sub-contractors shall be limited to between;
0800 to 1800 hours Monday to Friday
0900 to 1200 hours Saturdays
and NO WORKING shall take place at any time on Sundays, Bank Holidays or Public Holidays or at any time outside of the above permitted working hours unless first agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: In the interests of neighbour's amenity

- 14) Prior to the occupation of the development hereby approved a parking allocation scheme shall be submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority. The agreed parking allocation shall then be demarked in accordance with the approved details prior to the occupation of the development.

Reason: To ensure highway safety

- 15) The access improvements to create a vehicular "passing place", as indicated on drawing no. BTP-2018-1008_01 within the Highway Technical Note by Boston Transport Planning dated December 2018, shall be implemented in their entirety prior to the occupation of the development hereby approved. This passing place shall be kept free of obstruction at all times.

Reason: To ensure the access to the development is of adequate width to allow passing vehicles.

- 16) The undercover garages as shown on drawing no. 1443.2C shall remain in use as garages for the lifetime of the development.

Reason: To ensure adequate parking provision on site.

Recommended Informatives

The applicant is advised to be aware of their obligations under the Wildlife & Countryside Act 1981 (as amended by the Countryside & Rights of Way Act 2000) to avoid disturbance of nesting wild birds and protected species such as bats when carrying out these works.

This permission does not authorise the applicant to carry out works within the publicly maintained highway since such works can only be carried out by the County Council's Approved Contractor, Ringway Infrastructure Service who can be contacted by email worcestershirevehicle.crossing@ringway.co.uk. The applicant is solely responsible for all costs associated with construction of the access.

Drainage arrangements shall be provided to ensure that surface water from the driveway and/or vehicular turning area does not discharge onto the public highway. No drainage or

PLANNING COMMITTEE

effluent from the proposed development shall be allowed to discharge into any highway drain or over any part of the public highway.

Procedural matters

This application is being reported to the Planning Committee because two (or more) objections have been received.

PLANNING COMMITTEE

28th October 2020

Planning Application 20/00795/FUL

First floor side extension

101 Salford Close, Woodrow South, Redditch, B98 7UL

Applicant: Mr John Bennett
Ward: Greenlands Ward

(see additional papers for site plan)

The case officer of this application is Steven Edden, Planning Officer (DM), who can be contacted on Tel: 01527 548474 Email: steve.edden@bromsgroveandredditch.gov.uk for more information.

Site Description

The host property is a link-detached three bedroomed 'Chalet' style dwelling situated to the northern side of Salford Close. The property is constructed from a combination of brick and horizontal boarding (walls) under a steeply pitched tiled roof.

The immediate area is comprised of similarly sized dwellings which are attached to their neighbours by means of flat roofed single storey elements which would have originally been garages or car ports. In many cases, householders have converted these spaces into habitable living accommodation.

The dwellings nearest neighbours are No.99 (to the west) and No.103 (to the east).

Proposal Description

This application seeks planning permission to remove an existing side facing 'box' dormer window and in its place to erect a first-floor side extension to the (west facing) side elevation of the property. A pitched roof dormer window is proposed to be erected to both the front (south facing) and rear (north facing) elevation.

Relevant Policies

Borough of Redditch Local Plan No. 4

Policy 1: Presumption in Favour of Sustainable Development

Policy 39: Built Environment

Policy 40: High Quality Design and Safer Communities

Others

Redditch High Quality Design SPD

NPPF National Planning Policy Framework (2019)

Relevant Planning History

1977/392/FUL	Bow window & single storey extension	granted	24.11.1977
--------------	--------------------------------------	---------	------------

PLANNING COMMITTEE

28th October 2020

Public Consultation Response

2 letters have been received in objection to the application.
Comments received are summarised below:

- o Extensions would be harmful to the character of the area
- o Proposal would result in overlooking to the detriment of privacy
- o Loss of light to neighbouring dwellings

Other matters which are not material planning considerations have been raised but are not reported here as they cannot be considered in the determination of this application.

Assessment of Proposal

Planning applications for extensions and alterations to dwellings are expected to be of high-quality design that reflect or complement the character and appearance of the local area. Guidance contained within the Councils SPD 'High Quality Design' is expected to be incorporated within development proposals.

First floor side extensions to dwellings within Salford Close are not commonplace although as noted above, at single storey level, many dwellings have converted their garages to additional living space, including number 99 Salford Close, where a pitched roof exists over what is likely to have originally been a flat roof.

The proposed south facing roofslope serving the extension would be set-back from the existing principal elevation and is considered to remain subservient to the original dwelling, such that the scale and massing of the original dwelling would continue to dominate, thus retaining the characteristic appearance of the dwelling and complying with guidance set out within the Councils 'High Quality Design' SPD.

A first floor 'gap' between the host property and No.99 Salford Close would remain with the remaining gap being commensurate to first floor 'gaps' which exist between (for example) numbers 101 and 103 and numbers 103 and 105 Salford Close. For this reason, it is not considered that the proposals would result in harm to the character and appearance of this part of Salford Close.

Originally received plans proposed a 'sit/walk-out' balcony extension to the rear of the dwelling. This element has however been removed from the scheme due to your officers concerns regarding a resultant loss of privacy which would have been experienced by adjoining neighbours. Your officers are satisfied that the (amended) plans would not result in any such loss of privacy.

Noting the orientation of numbers 99, 101 and 103 Salford Close and the location of the proposed development, your officers are satisfied that an overshadowing impact resulting a material loss of light to the detriment of amenity would not occur.

PLANNING COMMITTEE

28th October 2020

In conclusion, your officers are satisfied that the amenities enjoyed by the occupiers of nearby dwellings would not be prejudiced, taking into consideration matters pertaining to loss of light / overshadowing and loss of privacy.

The proposed development is therefore considered to comply with the provisions of the development plan and would constitute a sustainable form of development in accordance with the requirements of the National Planning Policy Framework.

RECOMMENDATION:

That having regard to the development plan and to all other material considerations, planning permission be GRANTED subject to the following conditions:

Conditions:

- 1) The development to which this permission relates must be begun not later than the expiration of three years beginning with the date of the grant of this permission.

Reason: In accordance with the requirements of Section 91(1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as amended by Section 51 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

- 2) All new external walls and roofs shall be finished in materials to match in colour, form and texture those on the existing building.

Reason: To ensure that the development is satisfactory in appearance, to safeguard the visual amenities of the area and in accordance with Policies in the Local Plan.

- 3) The development hereby approved shall be carried out in accordance with the following plans and drawings:

Drawing no. 3589_001 Rev B - Proposed Elevations, Floor Plans, Location Plan and Block Plan - amended 9th September 2020

Reason: To provide certainty to the extent of the development hereby approved in the interests of proper planning.

- 4) Notwithstanding details shown on the approved plans, no windows shall be inserted in the side elevation of the extension hereby permitted (facing number 99 Salford Close)

Reason: In the interests of safeguarding privacy.

**PLANNING
COMMITTEE**28th October 2020

Informatives

- 1) The local planning authority have worked with the applicant in a positive and proactive manner to seek solutions to problems arising in relation to dealing with this planning application through negotiation and amendment

Procedural matters

This application is being reported to the Planning Committee because two (or more) objections have been received.